UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/554,247	10/25/2005	Xavier Sava	12810-00148-US1	2993
30678 7590 04/24/2008 CONNOLLY BOVE LODGE & HUTZ LLP				
1875 EYE STREET, N.W.			OH, TAYLOR V	
SUITE 1100 WASHINGTON, DC 20036		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1625	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/24/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/554,247	SAVA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Taylor Victor Oh	1625				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>25 O</u>	ctober 2005.					
	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray						
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>17-20</u> is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc		Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
 Certified copies of the priority document 	s have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	d.				
Attachment(s)	🗖 .					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) ∐ Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal P					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>1/26/08</u> .	6)					

	cation/Control Number: 10/554,247 nit: 1625	Page 2
The S	Status of Claims:	
Claim	ns 1-20 are pending.	
Claim	ns 1-16 are rejected.	
Claim	ns 17-20 are allowable.	
	DETAILED ACTION	
1.	Claims 1-20 are under consideration in this Office Action.	
	Priority	
2.	It is noted that this application is a 371 of PCT/EP04/040447(04/16/2004)	which
claim	s benefit of 60/466,123 (04/28/2003).	
	Drawings	
3.	None.	

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Art Unit: 1625

Claims 1-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 15, the term "preferably" is recited. This expression is vague and indefinite because of the followings:

A broad range or limitation together with a narrow range or limitation that falls within the broad range or limitation (in the same claim) is considered indefinite, since the resulting claim does not clearly set forth the metes and bounds of the patent protection desired. See MPEP § 2173.05(c). Note the explanation given by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences in Ex parte Wu, 10 USPQ2d 2031, 2033 (Bd. Pat. App. & Inter. 1989), as to where broad language is followed by "such as" and then narrow language. The Board stated that this can render a claim indefinite by raising a question or doubt as to whether the feature introduced by such language is (a) merely exemplary of the remainder of the claim, and therefore not required, or (b) a required feature of the claims. Note also, for example, the decisions of Ex parte Steigewald, 131 USPQ 74 (Bd. App. 1961); Ex parte Hall, 83 USPQ 38 (Bd. App. 1948); and Ex parte Hasche, 86 USPQ 481 (Bd. App. 1949). In the present instance, claim 15 recites the broad recitation the said functionalized silica gel, and the claim also recites preferably a silica gel functionalized with diphenyl phosphine groups which is the narrower statement of the range/limitation.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-3, 7-8, and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated clearly by Mott et al (CA 1,338,673).

Mott et al disclose the followings(see page 18, example):

The following ingredients were added to a 4 liter autoclave: 300 g (1.69 moles) 1-(4'=isobutylphenyl)ethanol, 840 mL methylethylketone, 2 g (0.0113 moles) palladium (II) chloride, 9 g (0.0344 moles) triphenyl phosphine, 38 g (0.34 moles) calcium chloride, 203 ml 36% HCl, 547 mL water. After flushing with nitrogen and carbon monoxide, the autoclave was pressured to approximately 700 psig of carbon monoxide. The reactor was stirred and heated to 130°C during which time the pressure inside the reactor increased to approximately 1000 psig and was subsequently maintained at this pressure during the 2 hour reaction time. After the carbon monoxide uptake was complete the reactor was cooled to room temperature and vented.

Application/Control Number: 10/554,247

Art Unit: 1625

The contents of the reactor were removed and the two phases were separated in a separatory funnel. The aqueous phase was extracted with 500 mL ethyl acetate and the organic fractions were combined and the solvents removed on a rotary evaporator under reduced pressure. During evaporation 6.4 g (81% of theoretical) yellow crystalline PdCl₂(PPh₃) suitable for reuse, precipitated and was recovered. 0.2 g (16% of theoretical) of palladium metal was recovered by filtration of the aqueous phase. The organic product obtained was 348 g and contained 57% ibuprofen.

Page 5

This is identical with the claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Taylor Victor Oh whose telephone number is 571-272-0689. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Janet Andres can be reached on 571-272-0867. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/554,247 Page 6

Art Unit: 1625

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Taylor Victor Oh, MSD,LAC Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1625

/Taylor Victor Oh/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1625 4/22/08